

The dispossession of the Fingo people of Humansdorp-Tsitsikamma which took place more than five years ago over Christmas of 1977, is deeply engraved in the minds of the people and deeply resented.

Insult has now been added to injury by the state-aided sale to wealthy white formers of land set aside in perpetuity for the Fingo people to be held in trust for them by the State.

Among those who have benefitted are

Hardship and hunger provail at Elukhanyweni, the "place of light" or "civilised place" to which 426 families were moved against their will (Figures supplied by Dr Hosenhof, Hansard Friday 11th June 1982, pages 9491 and 1992)

Average payments for dwellings of R429.33 (ranging from R30 to R2,945 and paid by the Department of Agricultural Credit and Land Tenure) evaporated long ago. No compensation was paid for land since this was supposed to be held in trust by the state for the Fingos and their descendants.

From allotments of at least 4½ morgen of high-rainfall, fertile land, each resettled family now occupies a plot of 36 metres by 18 metres (120 feet by 60 feet)

Migrant workers who built their lives on the dream of retirement to a small fare in the country, now see their hopes dashed. One such man I know of — a decent, God-feering, hard-working family can — says he cannot face the prospect of spending his retirement in a location in Ciskei, for that is what the "closer settlement" of Elukhanyweni is — a "location.

No compensation was paid for lest crops, for the forced sale of cattle or those that died in transfer. No compensation sould ever who would ever recompense families of old people and children who "did like flies" during the long, let and dry sugger of that removal when people lived int tents and the water tasted like jik.

The Government iditially claimed the Fingos had been settled in an area/that was larger by 3400 hectares than thetfrom which they had been evicted and/rem/which they were producted by presidential decree/ever to returning. When it was pointed out that they were not the sale occupiers as had been claimed, Dr Koornhof pointed out lamely that: "The fact of the matter is that originally that land was intended for them."

The Government was not deterred by the discrepancy between intention and actuality. It was equally unconcerned by the animosity engendered by the relocation of Fingos among long-time residents who regarded the newcomers with mistrust and suspicion.

According to a local estate agent at Jeffrey's Bay, farm land in 1982 sold at about \$1000 a hectare while small holdings fetched \$2000 a hectare. Therefore the value of Eingo small holdings ranged in value between \$5000 and \$8000. It is quite sobering to realise that property which bould be transferred as family heritage from father to son, valued at \$8000, was simply taken away and the only compensation given was as low in one cases as \$200. The Fingo families suffered irreparable damage and deprivation. The only people now benefiting are the white farmers who have been handed valuable property at state-subsidised prices.

Who is paying? The Fingos are paying, the taxpayers are paying and inevitably we and our children will pay for this act of repacity that is not an isolated event but part and parcel of the homeland consolidation process.

4000

land Unclusinge.

There was a "paper exchange" of 4373,7312 hegteres in Manansdorp district for 1707,5135 hectures of land alfall Seld by the S.A. Pewelopront Trast and destined for incorporation in Ermaskei.

Even Dr Loornhof admitted, in Pauliament, that the exchange was a "legalism"

As far as the Fingus were concurred, this was a symical move which did not meet the legal requirement of exchange of land of equal pastoral and against tural value.

It was a sleight of hand, a fact on paper, a dirage.

OF GRAZING

In reply to a complaint about lack of grazing, Dr F. Hartzenburg, then Peruty Minister of Tevelopment, advised through his Administrative Secretary on 04/06/1079:

"People who own or occupy property of 20 morgen or less are resettled in townships or closer settlements.

In such cases provision is not made for posturage for livestock."