The case for a national minimum wage for mineworkers

THE NUM is demanding a national minimum wage while the Chamber of Mines is resisting tooth and nail.

A national minimum wage means all mining houses will pay the same basic wage to workers in the lowest grade, if not more.

The minimum wage decided on at Congress is R600 a month for underground workers and R543 for surface workers. The union did not reach this figure in negotiations, but mineworkers were able to accept the rises the Chamber offered for the time being.

However, industrial action may be necessary at some time to force the bosses towards a decent wage.

A national minimum wage is the first step towards moving mineworkers to a living wage.

The NUM has also proposed wages for the various wage grades above the minimum. This is to build a standard of pay for the different jobs in the industry. At present the scales of pay vary very much between the different mining houses.

The union believes the wages of workers should depend on the job they do, not the mineral they mine or the mining house that administers the mine.

First step

Uniformity in the various grades may take time to establish, but the union believes the first step is to establish a national minimum wage.

The minimum wage that the union has demanded - although not achieved in negotaitions with the Chamber - is a very reasonable sum.

It is in line with wages in the manufacturing industry. What the new NUM wage policy wants to do is to move mineworkers from the very bottom of the wage ladder to the middle. It wants the minimum wage in mining to be similar to the minimum wage for A1 - the lowest grade - in other industries.

The demand for a national minimum wage is also a political

demand. Today many firms are exploiting the resources of South Africa - the natural wealth of the country which should be shared by all is being used for the enrichment of a very few.

Union arguments

In negotiations the union put forward its proposals for a national minimum wage and a industry wage structure.

The Chamber of Mines resisted by saying "each mining

group has its own wage philosophy". But they are unable to explain exactly what this philosaophy is or on what it is based.

Workers, of course, would know that the bottom-line line of the mining houses' "philosophy" is exploitation.

In negotiations with the Chamber the union made a strong case for a national minimum wage.

The union said when the Chamber was first established, the minebosses said one of the purposes of having a Chamber would be to have a standard wage. The union said although the minebosses wanted this to keep wages down - the Chamber could not deny that there was a lot of logic in a standard wage.

Blatant racism

The union accused the Chamber of "blatant and naked" racism because white workers were able to negotiate standard wages with the Chamber. But when it came to black workers, the Chamber fell back on weak arguments like "that is the way it has always been done in the past".

The union said the Chamber had no excuses for this racism there were no laws forcing them to negotiate in this way.

The union pointed out that in other mining countries all mining unions which negotiated with a central mine industry body, negotiated on uniform standards of pay.

It also reminded the Chamber that all other employer bodies in South Africa that negotiated wages and conditions of employment with unions, negotiated national standards of wages.

The Paterson grading system was being used in the mining industry by the different mining houses. But a worker graded A1 on one mine would be paid a different wage to a worker on another mine.

The different mining houses had standard rates of pay, and the union said it "could find no reason" why this principle should be not applied at Chamber level.

The union added the Chamber of Mines was a centralised body and the mining houses had cross holdings, shared board directors and used the same recruitment agency.

Comrade Ramaphosa said the union's general satisfaction at the outcome of the negotiations was marred by the increased gap between the wages paid in the lower job categories by the different mining groups.

He said the negotiations had highlighted the need for a uniform wage structure.

Union battles Chamber for every cent

THE union rejected the first offers of the Chamber of an 11 percent across the board increase. The Chamber then put their offers in cash terms which were just as low.

The NUM would not even consider such ridiculous offers which were seen as insulting.

Without reviewing its offer the Chamber of Mines declared a dispute with the union. The two parties opted for the establishment of a Conciliation Board in an attempt to resolve the deadlock.

At the Conciliation Board, after careful thought and discussion the union reduced its minimum wage demands to R420 and R480 for surface and underground respectively.

For the Chamber the new union position was still unacceptable. The Chamber then made their final offer of a minimum increase of R56 surface and R64 underground in gold mines. In collicries they offered R59 as minimum for surface and R64 for underground workers.

First offer rejected

This was overwhelmingly rejected by mineworkers who did not see any progress towards a living wage.



Mineworkers then proposed that the NUM opened informal talks with the Chamber to try and resolve the wage war which had been raging for more than a month. The next alternative was mediation.

In its informal discussions with the Chamber, the NUM succeeded in making the Chamber move from its final offer to make an extra increase of R6 a month across the board.

More negotiations

After meetings and reportbacks mineworkers accepted this increased offer. However the union will continue negotiating on a standard contract industry-wide, an end to compulsory overtime work, restrictions on evictions from hostels, negotiations over retrenchments, two months notice on end of contract and health and safety.

During negotiations the Chamber of Mines argued that they were facing economic difficulties due to the fall in the price of gold and the problem of marginal mines which are no longer yielding high profits.

On the other hand, the union argued mineowners still refuse to pay properly the thousands of men who work underground in highly dangerous conditions.

In all other major mining countries of the world, mining wages are well above manufacturing and other industry wages. This is because of the hard and dangerous nature of the work.

In South Africa, however, wages for blacks on the mines are far below those in manufacturing, while whites on the mines earn more than whites in manufacturing.

Whites on the mines are the top earners amongst all whites.

The poverty wage policy of the Chamber of Mines has kept black miners' wages amongst the lowest of all black workers.

GOLD

| | 1988 | 1989 | OLD MINIMUM RATES | |
|---------|------|------|-------------------|---------|
| SURFACE | | | MARKETINE | |
| 1 | 337 | 399 | 62 | 18.4% |
| 2 | 382 | 450 | 68 | 17.8% |
| 3 | 443 | 519 | 76 | 17.2% |
| 4 | 517 | 597 | 80 | 15.5% |
| 5 | 597 | 682 | 65 | 14.2% |
| 6 | 704 | 803 | 99 | 14.1% |
| 7 | 823 | 943 | 120 | 14.6% |
| 8 | 956 | 1096 | 140 | 14.6% |
| UNDERGR | DUND | | | 9550000 |
| | 385 | 455 | 70 | 18.2% |
| 2 | 443 | 520 | 77 | 17.4% |
| 3 | 512 | 595 | 83 | 16.2% |
| 4 | 599 | 688 | 89 | 14.9% |
| 5 | 694 | 783 | 69 | 14.3% |
| 6 | 799 | 914 | 115 | 14 4% |
| 7 | 924 | 1050 | 126 | 13.6% |
| 8 | 1067 | 1222 | 155 | 14.5% |

| | 1988 | 1989 | Rand increase Percentage | |
|----------|------|------|--------------------------|-------------|
| SURFACE | | | | |
| 1 | 294 | 356 | 62 | 21.1% |
| 2 | 337 | 405 | 68 | 20.2% |
| 3 | 402 | 478 | 76 | 18.9% |
| 4 | 474 | 554 | 80 | 16.9% |
| 5 | 565 | 650 | 85 | 15% |
| 6 | 670 | 769 | 99 | 14.8% |
| 7 | 812 | 932 | 120 | 14.8% |
| 8 | 1008 | 1148 | 140 | 13.9% |
| UNDERGRO | DUND | | | 1276/4/1027 |
| 1 | 350 | 420 | 70 | 20% |
| 2 | 398 | 475 | 77 | 19.3% |
| 3 | 475 | 55B | 83 | 17.5% |
| 4 | 548 | 637 | 89 | 16.2% |
| 5 | 661 | 760 | 99 | 15% |
| 6 | 780 | 895 | 115 | 14.7% |
| 7 | 929 | 1055 | 126 | 13.6% |
| | 1119 | 1274 | 155 | 13.9% |

| | 1988 | 1989 | Rand in | creases Percentage |
|----------|------|------|---------|---------------------|
| SURFACE | 1204 | 1.00 | | .c. caree : areamag |
| 1 | 291 | 353 | 62 | 21.3% |
| 2 | 335 | 403 | 68 | 20.3% |
| 3 | 400 | 476 | 76 | 19% |
| 4 | 471 | 551 | 80 | 17% |
| 5 | 561 | 646 | 65 | 15.2% |
| 6 | 665 | 764 | 99 | 14.9% |
| 7 | 806 | 926 | 120 | 14.9% |
| 8 | 1000 | 1140 | 140 | 14% |
| UNDERGRO | DUND | | | III CONTRACTOR |
| 1 | 347 | 417 | 70 | 20.2% |
| 2 | 394 | 471 | 77 | 19.5% |
| 3 | 472 | 555 | 83 | 17.6% |
| 4 | 544 | 633 | 89 | 16.4% |
| 5 | 656 | 755 | 99 | 15.1% |
| 6 | 774 | 889 | 115 | 14.9% |
| 7 | 922 | 1048 | 126 | 13.7% |
| | 1110 | 1265 | 155 | 14.0% |

COAL

| | 1948 | 1989 | Rand increase Percentag | |
|----------|------|------|-------------------------|-------|
| SURFACE | | | | |
| 1 | 335 | 397 | 59 | 17.5% |
| 2 | 375 | 439 | 64 | 17.1% |
| 3 | 424 | 497 | 73 | 17.2% |
| 4 | 477 | 556 | 79 | 16.6% |
| 5 | 543 | 631 | 88 | 16.2% |
| 6 | 618 | 715 | 97 | 15.7% |
| 7 | 699 | 805 | 106 | 15.2% |
| | 845 | 969 | 124 | 14.7% |
| UNDERGRO | UND | | | |
| 1 | 370 | 434 | 64 | 17.3% |
| 2 | 412 | 482 | 70 | 17% |
| 3 | 465 | 544 | 79 | 17% |
| 4 | 520 | 606 | 86 | 16.5% |
| 5 | 590 | 685 | 95 | 16.1% |
| 5 6 | 667 | 771 | 104 | 15.6% |
| 7 | 755 | 869 | 114 | 15.1% |
| 8 | 906 | 1039 | 133 | 14.7% |