Printed and Published by: Federation of South African Trade Unions 125 Gale Street, Durban, Natal 4001.



9th Edition DECEMBER - 1980

FOSATU WORKER NEWS

LEATHER WORKERS VICTORY

The two year old "clean up" struggle of Leather workers in Pietermaritzburg reached a new peak as the overwhelming majority of more than a thousand workers voted against the Benefit Fund Committee that had been nominated by supporters of the National Union of Leather Workers (NULW). The ballot took place on Saturday 29th November at Royal showgrounds following a court settlement in a case brought by elected the "clean up committee" against the TUCSA affiliated NULW and its branch secretary Mr. Puckree Gooriah.

Workers packed into the showground meeting chaired by Mr. Brian Law, a Natal advocate senior Workers had to after pass a credentials committee consisting of the legal representatives of sides.

The meeting burst forth jubilantly after waiting nearly two hours for the ballot papers to be counted and it was finally announced that the FO-SATU supported "clean up" committee had romped landslide home in a

victory.

The announcement was a new step in a two year struggle to have several alleged irregularities in the fund investigated by workers after alarming allegations of maladministration and corruption were brought to light.

One of the most important elements of the open struggle which developed was the irregularity of two changes made to the fund's constitution. The fund was established by leather workers in Pietermaritzburg in 1960 and was entirely non-racial until 1976 when the constitution was quietly changed by the TUCSA union benefit fund committee to exclude African workers. further irregularity (now conceded) came in March this year when that union tried to usurp control of the fund by changing the constitution again. A certificate was put up to the Registrar in both cases stating the amendments were done in accordance with the rules

(Cont - Page 4)



"Clean up" committee men before court settlement

PENSION — WORKERS MUST BE ALLOWED TO SPEAK

The Government Commission of Enquiry into pensions has brought out its first report two years after its

appointment.

If the Commission's recommendations are carried out it will affect all workers on pension schemes and already news of the recommendations is causing uncertainty and ill feeling amongst workers.

The Commission recommendatcentre around two ions

basic changes:

 worker and employer contributions to the pension funds should be kept for the benefit of the worker even if he is fired, retrenched or resigns before retirement age.

 the money should be saved until the worker reaches retirement age unless the worker dies or is disabled.

Taken by itself this seems

to be an improvement, but many workers are anxious about the fact that they may not be able to get their pension money back if they leave their present job for any reason.

Are workers being short sighted? Clearly the answer is no.

The major problem is that workers wages are too low to really allow a pension scheme to be effective. How many workers get more than R20,00 per month after a lifetime at work? Clearly it makes sense for a worker to prefer savings at present rather than wait for a low pension much later.

If we are going to have a fair and useful pension scheme then some important changes must take place in our society:

wages will have to be much higher so that a worker can afford to save for his pension

the insurance companies must be cut out so that workers don't have to pay for profits also

the State should make a greater contribution to pensions

employers should accept that they are better able to contribute to a workers pension scheme so that the present equal contribution system should change with the employer making a larger contribution.

These things won't happen tomorrew and we already have a problem. There have been strikes over the pensrecommendations ion there may well be more.

The Government should make it absolutely clear that these recommendations will not be carried out until there has been time to study them and time for workers to give their views and recommendations.

THE I.C. ACT 1981 RECIPE FOR CHAOS

FOSATU has strongly rejected many of the proposed changes in the Industrial Conciliation Act because they will place our union in a much worse position than before October 1979.

This government is continuously making the same mistake, they always want the largest possible hammer to crack even the smallest nut. The result is always the same - that the good gets destroyed by the bad and we have chaos and confrontation.

The good is proposals to allow unions to decide on the race of their membership and to allow all workers to join registered trade unions. But as always the government is afraid that this will allow workers to become too powerful. Up until now the law and the protection it gave everyone against unconstitutional illegal actions was good enough for the old registered unions but now it is not good enough for the new unions. They will not be allowed to organise as freely as before.

The struggle for the right organise all workers irrespective of race has been a very long one in South Africa. We in FOSATU are not prepared to accept that we must now go backwards and not forwards.

If the government makes all the proposed changes then we will end up with a second class trade union movement in the same way as



Pension schemes affect workers so that their opinions are the most important, not those of the insurance companies and the employers.

I.C.Act - cont. Page 1

are always offered second-class citizenship and it will only be acceptable to that second- rate leadership that think only of themselves and not work-

ers rights. The government is proposing the following changes that are totally unacceptable to

us: Controls on unregistered trade unions that will in registration fact make compulsory.

Workers and workers alone must decide which unions they want to join - we will fight all attempts government and employers to decide for workers.

The division of workers it is proposed that workers from outside South Africa will be deported if they participate in an illegal strike.

This is not only totally unjust it is a totally unacceptable principle where will it stop? Today it will be Mozambique workers, tomorrow Trankei and the next day those from This harsh law KwaZulu. will not stop strikes but will only encourage clever employers to employ more foreign workers - the old story of divide and rule. Greater government inter-

ference in trade union

affairs. being powers are proposed to control trade strike funds, union ballots, elections of office bearers, political links and to give the power to deregister. Our struggle for less government interference and not more. All in all we once again see quite clearly who the laws are made for - companies can get money from overseas, they can give money to political parties, their Registrar has few powers, they can have working conditions that kill

out restrictions. The government has time to think if they go ahead then 1981 marks the end of the Industrial Conciliation Act, if they come to their senses then the chances of stable industrial relation may have some hope.

workers and they must all

have free enterprise with-

There seems to be some hope as the Minister has stated that some of the points are

being considered.

LEATHER VICTORY -

of the fund but this now seems highly unlikely. The fight for the truth has been tough. Clean-up committee members were suspended NULW from membership, had court interdicts stopping them holding meetings and were pressured by employers.

The NULW continually defended its actions by trying to discredit its critics by linking them FOSATU. However workers did'nt seem to see this as a problem at all.

Mr. Gooriah left the showground meeting abruptly when he realised he and his committee had been trounced. When contacted by FWN the next day however he was already planning on how to stay on in fund. "I am more than happy to work with the new committee" he said.

Asked whether he would co-operate with an investigation by the new committee he said "I'll have to won't I but its an awful

waste. The fund has already spent R30 000 investigating. That money could have been used for for benefits workers".

(cont. from Page 1.)

In a tough reply a "clean committee trustee Brother N. Naicker said: "One of the first things we are going to do is investigate what that R30 000 was spent on and who authorised the expenditure. We've had much more than we can take and we are not going to leave a stone unturned. Justice is going to be done and seen to be done in the new year".

worker spokesman summed up the situation in the following way:

"It seems clear now that the older type of conservtrade unionism ative which rely mainly on the activities of few officials and organisers will be forced to give way to demands of more control from the shop floor by workers".

VICTIMIZATION -EMPLOYER FOUND GUILTY

Finally workers have succeeded in getting at least one employer convicted of victimisation. For years workers have suffered from victimisation but the Department of Manpower Utilization either refused to investigate, or took too long to do so or the attorney generals would not prosecute or the case failed because the law favours employers in victimisation

However, hard work, good lawyers and the labour truth finally won the day after years of trying. In 1977 Angel Makhanya was S.A.G. dismissed by Ceramics on the East Rand. At that time she was a member of an Urban Training union. However, Project they did not take the case up and she turned to FO-Industrial Aid SATU's Society.

The first round was lost when a magistrate dismissed the case. However this was taken on appeal to the Supreme Court where we won. The case then went back to a magistrates court as a private prosecution - that

is Makhanya and not the State was prosecuting S .-A.G. Ceramics even though victimisation is a criminal offence. This in itself was a rare procedure.

The trial had its stormy moments. At one stage the magistrate ruled that the trial should be in camera Makhanya and that even should leave the because she may intimidate witnesses. When she threatened to withdraw her lawyers from the court the ruling was changed.

The final verdict was welcome but even that showed up the weakness of the victimisation laws. S.A.G .-Ceramics was fined R100. However, the State has to pay Makhanya's costs and the costs of the appeal. Makhanya also has a civil claim for damages.

After three years only FO-SATU's resources and the experience of their labour lawyers won the day. But it only goes to show that an individual worker has absolutely no legal protection against victimisation. Organisation is the only answer.

FACTORY FLASHES

Motor Industry - wages continue to be a problem in the giant motor industry. A two day stoppage on the 27th and 28th November at BMW near Pretoria ended in an agreement of R1,60 per hour minimum plus a service allowance. This will be effective from 1st January 1981.

Wage negotiations are also taking place at C.D.A. (Mercedes) East in London.

Veldspun - following the fight for recognition of FOSATU's National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW) recent and successful negotiations, workers at Uitenhage factory have begun to resign from the TUCSA Textile Workers Industrial Union. At last they feel they have got a real union.

PUTCO Agreement - FO-SATU's Transport and Workers Union (TGWU) recently signed a agreement procedural whereby TGWU will be recognised in all depots where it has more than The membership. agreement is being implemented at Springs and in Natal.

Paper Employers take the wrong line - in a surprising move SAPPI, a major company in the paper industry, has stated that it will only deal with FOSATU's Paper Wood and Allied Workers Union at the Industrial Council level. Big companies should be showing the way not trying to go backwards. It should be clear to everyone by now that the unions have to operate at factory level as well.

Raleigh Cycles company seems determined to make a bad name for itself in labour history. In 1974 one of the first serious clashes between the unregistered unions and employers took place. Now again in 1980 the company is taking the same hard line.

Recently two workers were dismissed for alleged fighting. However shortly other before this two workers had not been dismissed for the same thing. Following a breakdown in negotiations between the Works Committee and Management workers downed 27th tools the on November.

Management refused negotiate with the Union and are refusing to take 500 back more than workers.





