More than pawns in a numbers game

Wide agreement on the urgency of addressing South Africa's population growth rate dissolved into hotly contested policy and political debate on how to go about it. BEA ROBERTS reports on a recent Idasa seminar in Pretoria.

n a developing country like South Africa how to achieve the fine balance between limited resources and the needs of an expanding population was a critical question.

In his opening address to the seminar HSRC researcher Johan van Zyl said the present population growth (approximately 2,7 percent) outstripped the economic growth rate (below 2 percent). If enough jobs were to be created for the society, an economic growth rate of between 3 and 5 percent was needed.

Two issues were debated at some length: the need for a population policy and the need for a programme to curb population growth.

On the one hand, a picture of doom was painted by economist Johan Jordaan, who regards the population issue as South Africa's "time bomb" and propagates a twochild family to be enforced as official policy. He said economic incentives should be used to control fertility and sterilisation facilities expanded dramatically.

Sharply opposed to Jordaan was Patience Tyalimpi, a fieldworker for over 20 years with the Planned Parenthood Association, who said that the very term policy "sends a chill down my spine". She said official policy had worked against people for a long time and argued rather that people should be cared for.

Although the present government has no official population policy, a population development programme (PDP) has been in operation for a number of years. The PDP follows a combined approach of socio-economic upliftment along with community education and information.

David Malatsi from Contralesa rejected the PDP out of hand, saying that traditional leaders would not support it until a democratic government was in place.

Dr Helen Rees of the ANC made it clear why no current programmes could succeed. Until there was a new constitution, she said, ordinary people would not trust the state. It was imperative that people felt ownership of whatever programme or policy was implemented.

Although the ANC had no clear population policy, she sketched a framework within which such policy could be worked out: the equitable distribution of resources, the designing of appropriate technology, socioeconomic development, financing of small projects, and in particular the upliftment of women.

Barbara Klugman of the Women's Health Project sounded a warning, saying that it was easy to make glib statements without



Debating the population crisis: from left, H Swanepoel, J Jordaan, G du Plessis, B Klugman and O Chimere-Dan

putting them into practice. She challenged an earlier comment that fewer children would "free" women – free to do what? There was nothing calling women before they had access to skills training, education and jobs.

Klugman also said that giving women access to contraception was not enough if this was not followed with proper care. Women not only needed birth control, but had the right to Pap smears and treatment for infertility and sexually transmitted diseases.

Speaking from her experience of working in the rural areas, Grace Ledwaba added to this, pointing to the paternalistic attitudes of medical and paramedical staff towards rural women. She called for re-education of such personnel and reiterated that control of fertility would not improve if women were not equal participants in policies and programmes fundamentally affecting their lives.

The rural culture called for a specific approach to sex education and this could not be imposed by academics. She challenged participants at the seminar to stop theorising about the population problem and to come to the rural areas to see the harsh realities

Support across the board for a state with many

By KERRY HARRIS

recent Idasa evening seminar entitled "One Nation, Many Faiths – Religious Freedom and a New Constitution" provoked lively debate, but also almost universal consensus on the importance of freedom of religion in a secular unitary state being enshrined in a new South African constitution.

Constitutional expert, Christof Heyns, began with an anecdote attributed to Paul Kruger which summed up how to handle situations where systems of belief clashed: two brothers had to share a farm. Kruger advised one of them to divide the land, and to give the other first choice.

Heyns said that from this "perspective of tolerance" we would have to devise rules relating to the new South Africa where solutions would be acceptable to all, regardless of religion.

Both the chairperson of the constitutional committee of the Afrikaner Vryheidstigting and the SA Communist Party's



Raymond Suttner: "SACP recognises the importance of religion".

resentative defended religious freedom.

However, the common ground ended when Prof Andries Raath called the secular state a "myth" and argued that religious diversity could only be accommodated in a multi-dimensional model (an Afrikaner state would uphold religious freedom in its





Contralesa's David Malatsi: "Large families are a form of security".

with which women have to cope - lack of schools, transport, health care and, added to that, oppression under tribal laws and culture.

It would seem that for now, the political process is the single major factor frustrating efforts to find solutions to the problem.

religions in SA

constitution). Raymond Suttner of the SACP advocated a "secular state with...many religions" and said the SACP recognised the importance of religion in people's lives, but felt it would be imperialist to retain the current preference given to Christianity.

Prof Willem Saayman of the Pretoria Central branch of the African National Congress said the ANC supported as little state intervention in religion as possible. He believed South African society would only be free if it allowed its citizens to pray to the "unknown god".

> Kerry Harris is a regional co-ordinator in Idasa's Pretoria office.

Pushing democracy à la United Nations

Among key international models for training for democracy is the so-called "Model United Nations" conference. Canadian researcher JOAN DEVRAUN, who has done some of the groundwork for Idasa's recently established Training Centre for Democracy in Johannesburg, explains the concept.

While the phenomenon of Model United Nations (MUN) conferences is prolific in North America with almost every university hosting its own, it has only just started in Europe and remains virtually non-existent in Latin America, Africa and Asia, with the notable exception of the International MUN in Tokyo.

Essentially an MUN is a realistic simulation of the actual United Nations and its various organs. The participants are students. MUNs usually simulate the activities of the Security Council (SC) or General Assembly (GA) and its committees. Student participants assume the roles of diplomatic representatives to the UN and consider UN agenda items.

Ideally, through role playing, they will acquire a greater understanding of global issues and the complexities of the international system. Although the GA and SC are the most frequently simulated bodies, virtually any international organisation can be adapted to a model conference.

For example, the Nebraska MUN, hosted by the University of Nebraska, is one which covers a very broad and all-encompassing agenda. In 1992 the following agenda items were discussed by the GA: disarmament, the plight of aboriginal peoples, the situation in South-East Asia, Aids and immigration, Zionism as racism, prisoners of conscience, the Kurdish question, the situation in the occupied territories and ethno-territorial sub-nationalism.

The agenda items for the Economic and Social Council (Ecosoc) were international banking and limiting the profitability of munitions manufacturers. In addition, there was also an Ecosoc commission on ecology which discussed a range of issues in separate working groups.

This agenda should probably be less ambitious and more focused. What becomes apparent from considering the agenda of the Nebraska MUN, however, is that any issue of interest to the UN or one of its many specialised agencies could be addressed.

For example, if Idasa's Training Centre for

Democracy hosted Africa's first MUN conference and simulated the governing council of the UN Development Agency (UNDA), any variety of relevant developmental issues could be addressed by student participants. Pressing current issues concerning democratisation and development, for example, could be dealt with realistically by youth in an MUN format. Certainly if the resolutions passed by MUN delegates are adopted by the real UN it would not be the first time. This was the case with the national Canadian MUN which I attended as the "ambassador" to Afghanistan in 1987. The benefits of an MUN conference in South Africa would, in all likelihood, be similar to those experienced by participants around the world.

Ideally, the MUN serves as an educational forum. In order to persuade other member states, the student "ambassador" must not only know his or her assigned country's position on the issues but also exercise persuasive writing and speaking abilities and exert leadership and diplomatic abilities. Ideally, the MUN experience provides the student with an appreciation of the skills of caucusing, negotiating, consensus building and compromise.

In contrast to the Nebraska MUN, the European International MUN conference (TEIMUN) in The Hague has a more concentrated and, in my estimation, superior agenda.

Having attended TEIMUN in July 1990, playing the role of judge on the International Commission of Jurists, I can attest to it being a superb experience. TEIMUN was established in 1988 by The United Nations Association of the United States of America (UNA-USA) and is now organised by the Dutch Students' Association.

UNA-USA is in fact "more than willing" to assist in setting up an MUN component to Idasa's training centre, which is an option the institute could seriously consider. Invitations to Africa's first MUN could be issued worldwide to past participants of other international MUNs.