

Who but an arrogant and guilty bureaucracy can make such a ludicrous demand? If there is anything worse than an arrogant bureaucracy, it is Herrenvolkist (Master racist) bureaucracy. Truth is, perhaps, our most precious gift. It must be defended.

DO YOU KNOW THAT:-

Donkeys like carrots

H.O.D. does not stand for House of Donkeys.

THE BLUNDERING EXPERT

In APDUSA VIEWS of May 1986, we warned that the mere abolition of the dompas did not mean that INFLUX CONTROL would also vanish. We said then that the ruling class would use other methods to prevent the African people from coming into the urban areas in order to seek employment and to escape the starvation in the bantustans.

We took this stand in the face of blasts of loud "hurrahs" from the press of the liberal bourgeoisie which greeted the abolition of the dompas. Professor Nic Olivier, the so-called constitutional expert of the Progressive Federal Party (PFP) praised the "non-racial provision for control of illegal squatting". What this "expert" was saying in effect was the laws against illegal squatting would no longer apply to the African people only. It would apply with equal force against the Whites, Indian and Coloured people. Hence his jubilation! The "expert", however, failed to mention one important fact that "illegal squatting" was not something the Whites, Indian and Coloured people engage in. It is only the African people, the most oppressed and exploited who have no place in the land of their forefathers and the country of their birth. It is they who driven by starvation and landlessness to occupy any vacant land from which they are not immediately driven off by the shotgun and the sjambok.

We now learn that the government intends passing a law which will render an "illegal" squatter liable to a fine of R10 000 or five years imprisonment. This is the beginning of yet another phase of great suffering for the African people. The starving person who has no home or livelihood and who has left behind a starving family will never be able to pay the fine of R10 000 not even if he is to have ten lives.

In view of the heavy penalties, people can be hardly blamed if they were to yearn for the return of the pass laws. At least with those laws, it meant jail for days or weeks.

In response to this proposed law, our "expert" pops up again. This time Professor Olivier cannot prettify "illegal" squatting by calling it "non-racial". Now he says:

"It is a retrogressive step in the worst possible way and it gives lie to the Government's policy of orderly urbanisation spelt out in the white paper of two years ago scrapping influx control." (Daily News 26 March 1988).

Yes, it does give lie to the Government's pronouncements not only in 1986 but from time immemorial. But it also gives lie to the praise showered by Professor Olivier when the fraud of the abolition of influx control was announced.

With "Experts" like Professor Olivier working for the PFP, it is not surprising that the PFP is falling to pieces.

UNBANNING OF "APDUSA VIEWS" No 19 - NOVEMBER 1987

We hereby announce that Issue No. 19 of "APDUSA VIEWS" dated November 1987 had been banned by the Committee of Publications in December 1987.

Since the subject matter of that issue, namely,

IN DEFENCE OF THE FREEDOM OF:-

- *Thought and Conscience**
- *Speech and Press**
- *Organising and Association**
- *Meetings and Assembly**

was considered by us to be of vital importance to our struggle, we decided to appeal against the banning.

Happily, the outcome of the appeal was in our favour. We believe that the time, trouble and the expense was worth the result.

This means that that issue of "APDUSA VIEWS" is no longer banned for distribution. Should any of our readers require copies of that issue, whether in small or large quantities, please write to us!

Editorial Board.